



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/751,616

01/05/2004

Richard A. Chapman

END920030134US1

2422

30449 7590 05/08/2008

SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS  
22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE  
SUITE 302  
LATHAM, NY 12110

EXAMINER

ULRICH, NICHOLAS S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/08/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/751,616	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAPMAN, RICHARD A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NICHOLAS S. ULRICH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NICHOLAS S. ULRICH. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jack Friedman. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shelton.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative discussed proposed claim amendments in view of figure 1, in order to better the examiners understanding of the present invention and the proposed claim amendments. No agreement was reached in respect to the proposed amendments overcoming the cited prior art. It was advised to applicants representative to provide clear arguments of the differences between the methods Shelton and the present invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tadesse Hailu/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required